Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/848,882	AWATER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence B. Williams	2634
All Participants:	Status of Application	on: <u>Allowance</u>
(1) <u>Lawrence B. Williams</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mary Caniz</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 7 June 2005	Time: <u>11:20 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE		.T WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant pointed out a typographical error in examiner's	s first amendment.	
Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief statement of the second sec	tion. The examiner will provide parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
•		
		== "
xaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		